Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator: XTO Energy, Inc.
Well Name/Number: <u>Headington 43X-25B</u>
Location: NE SE Section 25 T26N R52E
County: Richland, MT; Field (or Wildcat) Wildcat
Air Quality
(possible concerns)
Long drilling time: No, 25-35 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): Triple derrick rig 1000 HP to drill a single lateral
horizontal Bakken Formation well, 18,750'MD/8,824'TVD.
Possible H2S gas production: Slight possibility of H2S gas production, Mississippian Formations.
In/near Class I air quality area: Closest, class I air quality area in the area of review is the Fort Peck
Indian Reservation about 9 to 10 miles to the northwest from this drilling location.
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under rule 75-
<u>2-211.</u>
M(4) - 4;
Mitigation:
X Air quality permit (AQB review)
 Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas Special equipment/procedures requirements
Special equipment/procedures requirements Other:
Comments: If there are existing pipeline for H2S gas in the area then gas must be tied into system
or if no gathering system nearby H2S gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220.
of it no gathering system hearby 1125 gas can be flared under Board Rule 50.22.1220.
Water Quality
(possible concerns)
Salt/oil based mud: Yes, to long string/intermediate casing hole will use oil based invert drilling fluids.
Horizontal lateral to be drilled with brine water, from the 7" intermediate casing shoe to the 18,750'MD
TD. Surface casing hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater mud.
High water table: No, high water table anticipated at this location.
Surface drainage leads to live water: No, closest drainages are unnamed ephemeral tributary drainages, to
West Charlie Creek, about adjacent to the southwest corner of this location, about 1/8 of a mile to the east
and about ½ of a mile to the south from this location.
Water well contamination: No, closest water wells are about 1mile and further from this location to the
southwest from this location. The wells are domestic and stock water wells, from 240' to 231' in depth.
0 11 111 1000 0 1 1 1 11 0 1 1 1 1 1 1
Operator will drill a 1030'+ surface hole with freshwater and freshwater mud system and set 1030' of
surface casing cemented to surface to protect groundwater.
surface casing cemented to surface to protect groundwater. Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy silty clay soils.
surface casing cemented to surface to protect groundwater. Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy silty clay soils. Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages.
surface casing cemented to surface to protect groundwater. Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy silty clay soils. Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages. Mitigation:
surface casing cemented to surface to protect groundwater. Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy silty clay soils. Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages. Mitigation: X Lined reserve pit
surface casing cemented to surface to protect groundwater. Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy silty clay soils. Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages. Mitigation: X Lined reserve pit X Adequate surface casing
surface casing cemented to surface to protect groundwater. Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy silty clay soils. Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages. Mitigation: X Lined reserve pit X Adequate surface casing Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
surface casing cemented to surface to protect groundwater. Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy silty clay soils. Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages. Mitigation: X Lined reserve pit X Adequate surface casing Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage Closed mud system
surface casing cemented to surface to protect groundwater. Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy silty clay soils. Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages. Mitigation: X Lined reserve pit X Adequate surface casing Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage

the base of the Fox Hills aquifer. Adequate surface casing and operational BOP equipment will prevent

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

Steam crossings: None, no stream crossings anticipated.

High erosion potential: Yes, moderate cut up to 22.8' of cut and moderate fill up to 20.2', required.

Loss of soil productivity: None, location to be restored after drilling well, if nonproductive. If productive unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.

Unusually large wellsite: No, very large well site 550'X375'

Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use appears to be grassland adjacent to cultivated fields.

Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight

3 4	r• .		. •	
M	11	iga	t10	n
111	ιıι	1 <u>5</u> u		

- __ Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
- __ Exception location requested
- X Stockpile topsoil
- __ Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
- X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
- __ Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
- X Other: Requires DEQ General Permit for Storm Water Discharge Associated with

Construction Activity, under ARM 17.30.1102(28).

Comments: Access will be over existing county roads, #143 and #134. About 1979' of new access will be built from the existing county road, #134, into this location. Oil based drilling fluids will be recycled. Freshwater surface hole cuttings will be buried on site. Oil based drill cuttings will be buried in the lined reserve pit. Completion pit fluids will be hauled to a permitted saltwater disposal. Pit will be backfilled when dry. No concerns.

Health Hazards/Noise

(possible concerns)

Proximity to public facilities/residences: Closest residences are about 1.25 miles to the southwest from this location.

Possibility of H2S: Slight chance of H2S from Mississippian Formations.

Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple drilling rig 25 to 35 days drilling time.

Mitigation:

- X Proper BOP equipment
- __ Topographic sound barriers
- __ H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan __ Special equipment/procedures requirements

Other:

Comments: Adequate surface casing, 1030', cemented to surface with working BOP stack should mitigate any problems. Noise should not be a problems, sufficient distance from residence to rig should mitigate this.

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.

Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.

Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No

Conflict with game range/refuge management: No

Threatened or endangered Species: Threatened or endangered species listed in Richland county by USFW Service are the Pallid Sturgeon, Piping Plover, Interior Lease Tern and Whooping Crane. Candidate species are the Greater Sage-Grouse and the Sprague's Pipit. NH tracker website lists one (1) species of

concern in this Township and Range: Whooping Crane. Mitigation: __ Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception) X Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL) __ Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite __ Other: Comments: Surface location is on private surface lands. There maybe species of concern that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like done, if a species of concern is discovered at this location. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands. Historical/Cultural/Paleontological (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation __ avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) __ Other:_ Comments: Surface location is on private surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands. Social/Economic (possible concerns) __ Substantial effect on tax base __ Create demand for new governmental services __ Population increase or relocation Comments: Wildcat Bakken Formation horizontal well. No concerns._ **Remarks or Special Concerns for this site** Wildcat Bakken Formation horizontal well. A single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well about 18,750'MD/8,824'TVD. **Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects**

No long term impact expected.	Some short term impacts will occur.	

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does **<u>not</u>**) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Prepared by (BOGC): /s/ Steven Sasaki	
(title:) Chief Field Inspector	
Date: March 5, 2012	

Other Persons Contacted:
(Name and Agency) Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Groundwater Information Center website. (subject discussed) Water wells in Richland County (date)
March 5, 2012
US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website (Name and Agency) ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County (subject discussed)
March 5, 2012
(date)
Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (Name and Agency) Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3 in T26N R52E (subject discussed)
March 5, 2012
(date)
If location was inspected before permit approval: Inspection date: Inspector:
Others present during inspection: